## SMALL ARMS FIRING RANGE REMEDIATION REPORT

Building 105 Federal Center 4300 Goodfellow St. Louis, Missouri

Prepared for: U.S. General Services Administration Kansas City, Missouri

Prepared by:

SCS Engineers 10401 Holmes Road, Suite 400 Kansas City, Missouri 64131

March 18, 2003

## Introduction

SCS Engineers (SCS) has prepared this report of the demolition and remediation of the small arms firing range (SAFR) at the referenced facility. During the months of August and September 2002, SCS supervised remediation of the abandoned SAFR in the basement of Building 105 at the Federal Complex located at 4300 Goodfellow, St. Louis, Missouri. The activities performed consist of demolition and removal of material associated with the firing range, cleaning the designated firing range area, and containerization of generated waste streams. The procedures generally followed the GSA Environmental Management Technical Guide for maintaining Indoor Firing Ranges. This report summarizes activities conducted and includes documentation supporting:

- Laboratory testing of samples collected before remediation.
- Results of laboratory testing of wastes generated and manifests of wastes that were removed and disposed off-site.
- Permit application and permit necessary to dispose of filtered water generated during final decontamination into the City sanitary sewer system
- Notes and photographs documenting remediation.

## **Purpose**

Small arms firing ranges are those ranges accepting 50 caliber or smaller ammunition. The firing range at Goodfellow was reportedly used for ammunition less than 50 caliber. SAFRs may contain lead, antimony, copper, zinc, arsenic, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) from nonexploding (nonenergetic) bullets and fragments, bullet jackets, and related sporting material (e.g., clay targets); however, lead is the primary risk driver and was thereby the focus of this remediation.

Lead has documented impacts on human health, particularly for children. There are many mechanisms for exposure to lead, including drinking lead-contaminated groundwater, ingesting lead-contaminated soil or sediment, or inhaling airborne particles of lead. Lead dissolution and migration to groundwater or through aerially (windblown) or hydraulically (erosion and deposition) dispersed particles can cause exposure and result in elevated levels of lead in the blood of humans and wildlife and may ultimately impact beneficial future land use.

Remediation of the Goodfellow SAFR was not preformed in response to a risk assessment that established a completed pathway for lead exposure; rather, it was performed instead of a risk assessment.

## **Pre-remediation Sampling**

Prior to commencing remediation, a composite sample of bullet pit sand inside the SAFR was obtained and submitted to Peoria Disposal Company (PDC) Laboratories in Peoria, Illinois for hazardous waste profiling. A sample of the wood frame was collected and submitted to AM Labs for hazardous waste profiling. The bullet pit sand and wood framing sample were collected near the floor. These samples were used to profile the sand and some of the wood framing as hazardous waste since results indicated lead concentrations exceeded those allowed using the toxicity characterization leaching procedure (TCLP). A copy of the laboratory reports are included in Appendix A.

Additional pre-remediation baseline samples were obtained by wipe sampling the concrete columns in and near the firing range and submitted for analysis for lead to Severn Trent Laboratories in Chicago, Illinois. Each wipe sample was collected using a wipe wetted with nitric acid to facilitate sampling and used as a preservative for the sample in shipment. Each wipe represents a sampling area of approximately 100 square centimeters. Results of this sampling indicated the presence of lead and are summarized on Table 1. Copies of laboratory reports are included in Appendix A. The results ranged from 0.015 milligrams (mg) per wipe in LS-1, furthest from the firing range, to 0.72 mg/wipe in LS-3, nearest to the range.

## **Remediation Summary**

The firing range consisted of two metal bullet pits containing sand, a wood frame support structure, waste bullet pit sand, and miscellaneous wood, metal, and plastic debris. NPN Environmental Engineers of Fenton, Missouri performed the remediation under SCS' oversight and coordination. Photographs were taken periodically that documented the progress of the remediation from its beginning to its completion. Copies of select photos are included in Appendix B.

The basement of Building105 is constructed of an extensive network of concrete columns, walls, and floors. Access to the basement area is limited to a stairwell off the central building entrance. Utilities that were used included: electricity provided by 120-volt receptacles on the concrete columns, water supplied by a faucet located outside Building 105, and a sanitary sewer drain sump. No other facility operations were ongoing within the basement area at the time of the remediation. Access to the basement area was restricted to necessary and authorized persons involved in the remediation efforts during the project.

The area of isolation for the firing range demolition and remediation consisted of approximately 3600 square feet of containment extending to the nearest column outside the bullet pit to the southern end of the building. The containment area was extended to the south to facilitate access to a ventilation fan opening to allow for removal of demolition materials and waste streams. The enclosed containment area was established by installing temporary floor-to-ceiling plastic

sheeting walls. A negative pressure was applied to the containment's interior by utilizing HEPA air filtering devices exhausting filtered air to an adjacent area in the basement. Personnel working inside the containment wore dust resistant coveralls and respirators fitted with filters appropriate for demolition of lead containing materials.

Remediation activities included HEPA vacuuming wood, plastic debris, and metal prior to size reduction and load-out for disposal as non-hazardous waste. Demolition activities included removal, size reduction (using cutting torches and wood saws, as appropriate), and containerization of firing range debris (various wood and plastic) and removal of bullet pit sand. Bullet pit sand was removed from the range using a truck mounted vacuum with hopper. As sand was removed, it filled the vacuum hopper that, when filled, periodically dumped into a container approved for hazardous waste. Two (2) twenty - cubic yard roll-off boxes were used for containerizing hazardous wastes (including bullet pit sand and wood framing that was deemed hazardous due to its exposure either to lead bullets or bullet pit sand) generated from the remediation activities.

After HEPA vacuuming, the metal backstop of the bullet pit was reduced in size using a cutting torch and recycled off-site. The remaining wood structure was HEPA vacuumed prior to being reduced in size using a wood saw. The vacuumed pieces of the wood structure were disposed as non-hazardous waste.

Upon removal of firing range materials, the concrete ceilings, walls, and floors within the containment area were washed with one pass of a 3000-psi pressure washer. All rinsate from pressure washing was captured, prefiltered through a 50-micron filter, and containerized for waste stream profiling.

## **Waste Disposal**

All hazardous wastes removed from the SAFR were containerized and manifested for disposal to Peoria Disposal Company (PDC) # 1 Landfill in Peoria, Illinois. Approximately thirty (30) cubic yards of hazardous waste including bullet pit sand and debris, water rinsate filters and stained wood were manifested as hazardous waste. Approximately forty (40) cubic yards of nonhazardous wastes including non-stained wood and various plastics were disposed at Waste Management's Milam Landfill in East St. Louis, Illinois. In keeping with PDC's terms for waste handling, all waste profiled as hazardous was reduced in size to less than 3 feet prior to load-out. Bullet pit metal that formed the backstop was recycled at a local metal recycling facility, Grossman Iron and Metal of St. Louis, Missouri. Copies of hazardous waste manifests are included in Appendix C.

Results of the rinsate water are summarized in Table 2. These results indicate the rinsate water quality prior to further filtration using a 0.5 micron filter just prior to discharge into the City sanitary sewer. Approximately 750 gallons of rinsate was generated by the pressure washing

activity. A copy of the permit issued by the City of St. Louis' Metropolitan Sewer District is included in Appendix D.

## **Post-remediation Sampling**

Upon the completion of the remediation, confirmation wipe samples of selected surfaces in and near the range were collected. Results of this sampling indicated the remediation was successful in mitigating the presence of lead on the columns in the immediate vicinity of the SAFR. These results are included in Table 1 to provide easy comparison to the pre-remediation sampling results. The results ranged from 0.017 mg per wipe in 105DCSWS1taken immediately adjacent to the range at pillar C-42 to 38 mg/wipe in 105DCSWS2 collected from the concrete floor within the footprint of the former range. Each wipe sample was collected in the same manner as those collected prior to remediation.

## **SUMMARY OF LEAD WIPE SAMPLING RESULTS**

Sample ID	Description	Lead (mg/wipe)
	Before Remediation	
LS-1	east side of pillar B-41 at northeast corner of range, 5 feet above floor	0.015
LS-2	west side of pillar C-41 at northwest corner of range 5 feet above floor	0.084
LS-3	west side of pillar C-42 at west corner of range 1.5 feet above floor	0.72
LS-4	east side of pillar E-42 5 feet above floor	0.17
LS-5	west side of pillar G-42 5 feet above floor	0.046
LS-6	west side of pillar C-45 5 feet above floor	0.042

Each wipe sample represents an area of approximately 100 square centimeters

# SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF RINSATE WATER WATER ANALYSIS

•		
SAMPLE NUMBER SAMPLE DATE LAB ID NUMBER	M	SRDECON 9/11/2002 211977-4
PARAMETER	UNITS	
PCBs (8082)		:T
Aroclor 1016	ng/L	QN
Aroclor 1221	ng/L	QN
Aroclor 1232	ng/L	Q
Aroclor 1242	ng/L	Q
Aroclor 1248	ng/L	Q
Aroclor 1254	ng/L	Q
Aroclor 1260	ng/L	5.6
CHEMICAL OXYGER DEMAND (Hach 8000)		
COD	mg/L	77
pH (150.1)		
Hd	pH units	7.24
TOTAL SOLIDS (160.3)		
Total Solids	mg/L	1190
TOTAL VOLATILE SOLIDS (160.4)		
Total Volatile Solids	mg/L	14
TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS (160.2)		
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	27
MERCURY (7470A)		
Mercury	mg/L	0.0098

# SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF RINSATE WATER WATER ANALYSIS

SAMPLE NUMBER SAMPLE DATE		SRDECON 9/11/2002
LAB ID NUMBER		211977-4
PARAMETER	UNITS	
METALS (200.7)	9	
Cadmium	mg/L	0.0026
Chromium	mg/L	0.0097
Copper	mg/L	0.24
Iron	mg/L	2.4
Lead	mg/L	1.9
Nickel	mg/L	0.021
Zinc	mg/L	0.19
VOLATILE ORGANICS (624)		.1.2
Chloromethane	ug/L	Q
Vinyl chloride	ng/L	Q
Bromomethane	ng/L	Q
Chloroethane	ng/L	QN
Acrolein	ng/L	Q
1,1-Dichloroethene	ng/L	Q
Methylene chloride	ng/L	5.6
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ng/L	Q
Acrylonitrile	ng/L	Q
1,1-Dichloroethane	ng/L	Q
Chloroform	ug/L	Q
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ng/L	Q
Carbon Tetrachloride	ng/L	N Q
Benzene	ng/L	2

# SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF RINSATE WATER WATER ANALYSIS

SAMPLE NUMBER SAMPLE DATE LAB ID NUMBER		SRDECON 9/11/2002 211977-4
PARAMETER	UNITS	
VOLATILE ORGANICS (624)		11 (%
1,2-Dichloroethane	ng/L	Q
Trichloroethene	ng/L	QN
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/L	Q
Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	Q
2-Chloroethylvinylether	ng/L	Q
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ng/L	QN
Toluene	ng/L	QN
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ng/L	Q
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ng/L	QN
Tetrachloroethene	ng/L	QN
Dibromochloromethane	ng/L	Q
Chlorobenzene	ng/L	QN I
Ethylbenzene	ng/L	Q
Bromoform	ng/L	QN
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/L	ND







STL Chicago

## SEVERN TRENT LABORATORIES ANALYTICAL REPORT

JOB NUMBER: 211607

Prepared For:

SCS Engineers, Inc. 10401 Holmes Road Suite 400 Kansas City, MO 64131

Project: St. Louis GSA - Shooting Range

Attention: David Brewer

Date: 09/10/2002

(b) (6)

Signature

Name: Righard C. Wright

Title: Project Manager

E-Mail: rwright@stl-inc.com

9/10/02 Date

STL Chicago

2417 Bond Street

University Park, IL 60466

PHONE: (708) 534-5200 FAX..: (708) 534-5211

## Severn Trent Laboratories - Chicago METALS CASE NARRATIVE

Client: SCS Engineers, Inc Project: St. Louis GSA

STL Job#: 211607

1. This narrative covers the Lead analysis of Wipe samples in the above Job.

Method Refs: USEPA, SW 846

- 2. All analyses were performed within the required holding times.
- 3. All Initial and Continuing Calibration Verification (ICV/CCV's) were within control limits.
- 4. All Initial and Continuing Calibration Blanks (ICB/CCB's) were within control limits.
- 5. All Preparation/Method Blanks were below the Reporting Limit.
- 6. Laboratory Control Sample recoveries were within the 80-120% control limits.
- 7. Additional sample was not provided for Matrix QC.

(b) (6)

Mani S. Iyer Metals Section Manager 9 | 9 | 0 ~ Date

Date Recd: 08/28/02

## S A M P L E I N F O R M A T I O N Date: 09/10/2002

Job Number.: 211607

Customer...: SCS Engineers, Inc. Attn.....: David Brewer

Project Number.....: 20002514 Customer Project ID...: ST. LOUIS GSA Project Description...: St. Louis GSA - Shooting Range

Laboratory Sample ID	Customer Sample ID	Sample Matrix	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Date Received	Time Received
211607-1	LS-1	Wipe	08/27/2002	11:45	08/28/2002	10:30
211607-2	LS-2	Wipe	08/27/2002	11:45	08/28/2002	10:30
211607-3	LS-3	Wipe	08/27/2002	11:45	08/28/2002	10:30
211607-4	LS-4	Wipe	08/27/2002	11:45	08/28/2002	10:30
211607-5	LS-5	Wipe	08/27/2002	11:45	08/28/2002	10:30
211607-6	LS-6	Wipe	08/27/2002	11:45	08/28/2002	10:30
					ı	-



CUSTOMER: SCS Engineers, Inc. TEST METHOD 6010B Customer Sample ID: LS-1
Date Sampled.....: 08/27/2002
Time Sampled.....: 11:45
Sample Matrix....: Wipe Job Number: 211607 Metals Analysis (ICAP Trace) Lead, Wipe PARAMETER/TEST DESCRIPTION LABORATORY SAMPLE RESULT 0.015 PROJECT: ST. LOUIS GSA Q FLAGS T E Laboratory Sample ID: 211607-1
Date Received.....: 08/28/2002
Time Received.....: 10:30 S T 종 70 m 0.0050 SULTS 굗 0.0050 DILUTION mg/Wipe STINU ATTN: David Brewer Date:09/10/2002 61622 BATCH PT 08/30/02 2218 pfk DATE/TIME TECH

\* In Description = Dry Wgt.



CUSTOMER: SCS Engineers, Inc. TEST METHOD 6010B Customer Sample ID: LS-2
Date Sampled....: 08/27/2002
Time Sampled....: 11:45
Sample Matrix....: Wipe Job Number: 211607 Metals Analysis (ICAP Trace) Lead, Wipe PARAMETER/TEST DESCRIPTION LABORATORY SAMPLE RESULT 0.084 PROJECT: ST. LOUIS GSA Q FLAGS ⊣ E Laboratory Sample ID: 211607-2
Date Received.....: 08/28/2002
Time Received.....: 10:30 S MDL RESULTS 0.0050 굗 0.0050 DILUTION mg/Wipe STINU ATTN: David Brewer Date:09/10/2002 61622 BATCH DT DATE/TIME 08/30/02 2230 pfk TECH

\* In Description = Dry Wgt.



CUSTOMER: SCS Engineers, Inc. TEST METHOD 6010B Customer Sample ID: LS-3
Date Sampled.....: 08/27/2002
Time Sampled.....: 11:45
Sample Matrix....: Wipe Job Number: 211607 Metals Analysis (ICAP Trace) Lead, Wipe PARAMETER/TEST DESCRIPTION LABORATORY SAMPLE RESULT 0.72 PROJECT: ST. LOUIS GSA Q FLAGS ΤE Laboratory Sample ID: 211607-3
Date Received.....: 08/28/2002
Time Received.....: 10:30 S T 룕 R 0.0050 ESULTS 굗 0.0050 DILUTION mg/Wipe STINU ATTN: David Brewer Date:09/10/2002 61622 BATCH DT 08/30/02 2236 pfk DATE/TIME TECH

\* In Description = Dry Wgt.



CUSTOMER: SCS Engineers, Inc. TEST METHOD 6010B Customer Sample ID: LS-4
Date Sampled.....: 08/27/2002
Time Sampled.....: 11:45
Sample Matrix....: Wipe Job Number: 211607 Metals Analysis (ICAP Trace) Lead, Wipe PARAMETER/TEST DESCRIPTION LABORATORY SAMPLE RESULT 0.17 PROJECT: ST. LOUIS GSA Q FLAGS ⊣ E Laboratory Sample ID: 211607-4
Date Received.....: 08/28/2002
Time Received.....: 10:30 S T 를 RESULTS 0.0050 2 0.0050 DILUTION mg/Wipe STINU Date:09/10/2002 ATTN: David Brewer 61622 BATCH DT 08/30/02 2302 pfk DATE/TIME TECH

\* In Description = Dry Wgt.



CUSTOMER: SCS Engineers, Inc. TEST METHOD 6010B Customer Sample ID: LS-5
Date Sampled.....: 08/27/2002
Time Sampled.....: 11:45
Sample Matrix....: Wipe Job Number: 211607 Metals Analysis (ICAP Trace) Lead, Wipe \* In Description = Dry Wgt. PARAMETER/TEST DESCRIPTION LABORATORY SAMPLE RESULT 0.046 PROJECT: ST. LOUIS GSA Page 6 Q FLAGS T E Laboratory Sample ID: 211607-5
Date Received.....: 08/28/2002
Time Received.....: 10:30 S 퇃 RESULTS 0.0050 몬 0.0050 NOTTUL mg/Wipe STINU ATTN: David Brewer Date:09/10/2002 61622 BATCH DT 08/30/02 2308 pfk DATE/TIME TECH



CUSTOMER: SCS Engineers, Inc. TEST METHOD 6010B Customer Sample ID: LS-6
Date Sampled.....: 08/27/2002
Time Sampled.....: 11:45
Sample Matrix....: Wipe Job Number: 211607 Metals Analysis (ICAP Trace) Lead, Wipe PARAMETER/TEST DESCRIPTION LABORATORY SAMPLE RESULT 0.042 PROJECT: ST. LOUIS GSA Q FLAGS ΤE Laboratory Sample ID: 211607-6
Date Received.....: 08/28/2002
Time Received.....: 10:30 S ¥ RESULTS 0.0050 굗 0.0050 DILUTION mg/Wipe STIND ATTN: David Brewer Date:09/10/2002 61622 BATCH DT 08/30/02 2314 pfk DATE/TIME TECH

\* In Description = Dry Wgt.

## LABORATORY CHRONICLE

Job Number: 211607 Date: 09/10/2002

USTOMER: SCS Eng	ineers, Inc.	PROJECT: ST. LC	UIS GSA			ATTN: David Br	ewer	
ab ID: 211607-1	Client ID: LS-1	Date Re	cvd: 08/	28/2002	Sample	Date: 08/27/2	002	
METHOD	DESCRIPTION			PREP BT				DILUTION
3050B	Acid Digestion: Solids (ICAP)	1	61286			08/28/2002	1800	
EDD	Electronic Data Deliverable	1						
6010B	Metals Analysis (ICAP Trace)	1	61622	61286		08/30/2002	2218	
ab ID: 211607-2	Client ID: LS-2	Date Re	cvd: 08/	28/2002	Sample	Date: 08/27/2	002	
METHOD	DESCRIPTION	RUN#	BATCH#	PREP BT	#(S)	DATE/TIME A	NALYZED	DILUTION
3050B	Acid Digestion: Solids (ICAP)	1	61286			08/28/2002	1800	
6010B	Metals Analysis (ICAP Trace)	1	61622	61286		08/30/2002	2230	
ab ID: 211607-3	Client ID: LS-3	Date Re	cvd: 08/	28/2002	Sample	Date: 08/27/2	002	
METHOD	DESCRIPTION	RUN#	BATCH#	PREP BT	#(S)	DATE/TIME A	NALYZED	DILUTION
3050B	Acid Digestion: Solids (ICAP)	1	61286			08/28/2002	1800	
6010B	Metals Analysis (ICAP Trace)	1	61622	61286		08/30/2002	2236	
ab ID: 211607-4	Client ID: LS-4	Date Re	cvd: 08/	28/2002	Sample	Date: 08/27/2	002	
METHOD	DESCRIPTION	RUN#		PREP BT	#(S)	DATE/TIME A	NALYZED	DILUTION
3050B	Acid Digestion: Solids (ICAP)	1	61286			08/28/2002	1800	
6010B	Metals Analysis (ICAP Trace)	1	61622	61286		08/30/2002	2302	
	Client ID: LS-5	Date Re	cvd: 08/	28/2002	Sample	Date: 08/27/2	002	
METHOD	DESCRIPTION	RUN#		PREP BT	#(S)	DATE/TIME A	NALYZED	DILUTION
3050B	Acid Digestion: Solids (ICAP)	1	61286			08/28/2002	1800	
6010B	Metals Analysis (ICAP Trace)	1	61622	61286		08/30/2002	2308	
ab ID: 211607-6				28/2002		Date: 08/27/2	002	
METHOD	DESCRIPTION	RUN#		PREP BT	#(S)	DATE/TIME A	NALYZED	DILUTION
3050B	Acid Digestion: Solids (ICAP)	1	61286			08/28/2002	1800	
6010B	Metals Analysis (ICAP Trace)	1	61622	61286		08/30/2002	2314	

	Job Number.: 211607	QUA	LITY	CONTROL R	ESULTS	Report Date.: 09/	10/2002
CUSTOMER: S	SCS Engineers, Inc.		PROJECT	: ST. LOUIS GSA		ATTN: David Brewe	
QC Type	Descript	ion		Reag. Code	Lab ID	Dilution Factor	Date Time
	d: 6010B cription.: Metals Analysis	s (ICAP Trac	e)	Equipment Co Batch	de: ICP3 : 61622	Analy	st: pfk
LCS	Laboratory Control Samp	ole		M02GSPK001	61420 -002		08/30/2002 1822
Para	ameter/Test Description	Units	QC Resu	lt QC Result	True Value	Orig. Value QC Ca	lc. * Limits
ead, Solid		mg/Kg	9.8	1	10.00	0.43 U 98	% 80-120
LCS	Laboratory Control Samp	ole		M02GSPK001	61286 -002		08/30/2002 2211
Para	ameter/Test Description	Units	QC Resu	lt QC Result	True Value	Orig. Value QC Ca	lc. * Limits
Lead, Wipe		mg/Wipe	0.00	961	0.01000	0.00500 U 96	% 80-120

	244407	QUALI	TY C	ONTROL RE	SULTS	Report Date.: 09/10	0/2002
	Job Number.: 211607					ATTN:	
WETOMER: SC	S Engineers, Inc.	70.0	PROJECT:	ST. LOUIS GSA	Lab ID	Dilution Factor	Date Time
QC Type	Description	n		Reag. Code		Amply	st: pfk
Test Method.	: 6010B ription.: Metals Analysis	(ICAP Trace)		Equipment Coo Batch	61622		
a allead Docci							1 (2002 1816
Method Desci	-ipcioni: neo			61420	61420 -001		08/30/2002 1816
MB	Method Blank				61420 -001 True Value	Orig. Value QC Ca	00/30/200
MB Para	-iperoma ne	Units	QC Resu	ult QC Result		Orig. Value QC Ca	alc. * Limits
MB	Method Blank		QC Resu	QC Result			08/30/2002 220
MB Para	Method Blank	Units	QC Resu	QC Result G1286	True Value 61286 -001	Orig. Value QC Ca	08/30/2002 220

## QUALITY ASSURANCE METHODS

### REFERENCES AND NOTES

Report Date: 09/10/2002

## REPORT COMMENTS

- 1) All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should be reproduced only in its entirety.
- 2) Soil, sediment and sludge sample results are reported on a "dry weight" basis except when analyzed for landfill disposal or incineration parameters. All other solid matrix samples are reported on an "as received" basis unless noted differently.
- 3) Reporting limits are adjusted for sample size used, dilutions and moisture content if applicable.
- 4) The test results for the noted analytical method(s) meet the requirements of NELAC. Lab Cert. ID# 100201
- 5) Arizona Environmental Laboratory License number AZ0603.
- 6) According to 40CFR Part 136.3, pH, Chlorine Residual and Dissolved Oxygen analyses are to be performed immediately after aqueous sample collection. When these parameters are not indicated as field (e.g. pH Field) they were not analyzed immediately, but as soon as possible on laboratory receipt.

Glossary of flags, qualifiers and abbreviations (any number of which may appear in the report) Inorganic Qualifiers (Q-Column)

- U Analyte was not detected at or above the stated limit.
- Not detected at or above the reporting limit.
- J Result is less than the RL, but greater than or equal to the method detection limit.
- Result is less than the CRDL/RL, but greater than or equal to the IDL/MDL.
- S Result was determined by the Method of Standard Additions.
- F AFCEE: Result is less than the RL, but greater than or equal to the method detection limit. Inorganic Flags (Flag Column)
- ICV,CCV,ICB,CCB,ISA,ISB,CRI,CRA,MRL: Instrument related QC exceed the upper or lower control limits.
- \* LCS, LCD, MD: Batch QC exceeds the upper or lower control limits.
- + MSA correlation coefficient is less than 0.995.
- 4 MS, MSD: The analyte present in the original sample is 4 times greater
  - than the matrix spike concentration; therefore, control limits are not applicable.
- E SD: Serial dilution exceeds the control limits.
- MB, EB1, EB2, EB3: Batch QC is greater than reporting limit or had a
- negative instrument reading lower than the absolute value of the reporting limit.
- N MS, MSD: Spike recovery exceeds the upper or lower control limits.
- W AS(GFAA) Post-digestion spike was outside 85-115% control limits.

## Organic Qualifiers (Q - Column)

- U Analyte was not detected at or above the stated limit.
- ND Compound not detected.

Z

- J Result is an estimated value below the reporting limit or a tentatively identified compound (TIC).
- Q Result was qualitatively confirmed, but not quantified.
- C Pesticide identification was confirmed by GC/MS.
- Y The chromatographic response resembles a typical fuel pattern.
  - The chromatographic response does not resemble a typical fuel pattern.
- E Result exceeded calibration range, secondary dilution required.
- F AFCEE:Result is an estimated value below the reporting limit or a tentatively identified compound (TIC) Organic Flags (Flags Column)
- B MB: Batch QC is greater than reporting limit.
- LCS, LCD, ELC, ELD, CV, MS, MSD, Surrogate: Batch QC exceeds the upper or lower control limits.
- EB1, EB2, EB3, MLE: Batch QC is greater than reporting Limit
- A Concentration exceeds the instrument calibration range
- a Concentration is below the method Reporting Limit (RL)
- B Compound was found in the blank and sample.
- D Surrogate or matrix spike recoveries were not obtained because the extract was diluted for
  - analysis; also compounds analyzed at a dilution will be flagged with a D.
- H Alternate peak selection upon analytical review
- I Indicates the presence of an interfence, recovery is not calculated.
- M Manually integrated compound.

## QUALITY ASSURANCE METHODS

### REFERENCES AND NOTES

Report Date: 09/10/2002

```
The lower of the two values is reported when the % difference between the results of two GC columns is
      greater than 25%.
Abbreviations
         Post Digestion Spike (GFAA Samples - See Note 1 below)
AS
         Designation given to identify a specific extraction, digestion, preparation set, or analysis set
Batch
CAP
         Capillary Column CCB Continuing Calibration Blank
CCV
         Continuing Calibration Verification
CF
         Confirmation analysis of original
C1
         Confirmation analysis of A1 or D1
C2
         Confirmation analysis of A2 or D2
C3
         Confirmation analysis of A3 or D3
         Low Level Standard Check - GFAA; Mercury
CRA
CRI
         Low Level Standard Check - ICP
CV
         Calilbration Verification Standard
Dil Fac
         Dilution Factor - Secondary dilution analysis
D1
         Dilution 1
D2
         Dilution 2
03
         Dilution 3
DLFac
         Detection Limit Factor
         Distilled Standard - High Level
DSH
DSL
         Distilled Standard - Low Level
         Distilled Standard - Medium Level
DSM
EB1
         Extraction Blank 1
EB2
         Extraction Blank 2
EB3
         DI Blank
ELC
         Method Extracted LCS
ELD
         Method Extracted LCD
ICAL
         Initial calibration
ICB
         Initial Calibration Blank
TCV
         Initial Calibration Verification
IDL
         Instrument Detection Limit
ISA
         Interference Check Sample A - ICAP
ISB
         Interference Check Sample B - ICAP
Job No.
         The first six digits of the sample ID which refers to a specific client, project and sample group
         Lab ID An 8 number unique laboratory identification
         Laboratory Control Standard Duplicate
LCD
LCS
         Laboratory Control Standard with reagent grade water or a matrix free from the analyte of interest
MB
         Method Blank or (PB) Preparation Blank
MD
         Method Duplicate
MDL
         Method Detection Limit
MLE
         Medium Level Extraction Blank
MRL
         Method Reporting Limit Standard
MSA
         Method of Standard Additions
MS
         Matrix Spike
MSD
         Matrix Spike Duplicate
ND
         Not Detected
PREPF
         Preparation factor used by the Laboratory's Information Management System (LIMS)
PDS
         Post Digestion Spike (ICAP)
RA
         Re-analysis of original
A1
         Re-analysis of D1
A2
         Re-analysis of D2
Α3
         Re-analysis of D3
RD
         Re-extraction of dilution
RE
         Re-extraction of original
RC
         Re-extraction Confirmation
RL
         Reporting Limit
         Relative Percent Difference of duplicate (unrounded) analyses
RPD
RRF
         Relative Response Factor
```

## QUALITY ASSURANCE METHODS

## REFERENCES AND NOTES

Report Date: 09/10/2002

RT	Retention Time
RTW	Retention Time Window Sample ID A 9 digit number unique for each sample, the first
	six digits are referred as the job number
SCB	Seeded Control Blank
SD	Serial Dilution (Calculated when sample concentration exceeds 50 times the MDL)
UCB	Unseeded Control Blank
SSV	Second Source Verification Standard
SLCS	Solid Laboratory Control Standard(LCS)
PHC	pH Calibration Check LCSP pH Laboratory Control Sample
LCDP	pH Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate
MDPH	pH Sample Duplicate
MDFP	Flashpoint Sample Duplicate
LCFP	Flashpoint LCS
G1	Gelex Check Standard Range 0-1
G2	Gelex Check Standard Range 1-10
G3	Gelex Check Standard Range 10-100
G4	Gelex Check Standard Range 100-1000
Note 1:	The Post Spike Designation on Batch QC for GFAA is designated with an "S" added to the current
abbrevia	ation used. EX. LCS S=LCS Post Spike (GFAA); MSS=MS Post Spike (GFAA)
Note 2:	The MD calculates an absolute difference (A) when the sample concentration is less than 5 times the
reporti	ng limit. The control limit is represented as +/- the RL.
	·

s $\Big)$ No $igcap$ COC not present	Yes	x	Hard Copy: 7/5/02	500P
Sample Labels and COC Agree	Sample		Date Required (2)	Project Location:
No (NA) Yes NO (NA	Yes No	Preserv.	02200070-11	SLAP Shorting Pence 02200070-11
<u>ر</u>	PH C	Volume	Project Number:	Project Name:
	Tes	#/Conft.	(b) (6)	David Hempleman 20
old Time Preser	With	Refrg #		Sampler Name:
		E-Mail: Abrewer 6) SUSENGINEERS + FOR Quote:	E-Mail: Abrew	Phone: 708-534-5200 Fax: 708-534-5211
Temperature °C of Cooler	Temperat	(816) 941-8025 Fax	Fax: (0/6) 9	University Park, IL 60466
No Yes No	Yes	(816) 941-7510 Phone:	Phone: (8/6) 91	STL Chicago 2417 Bond Street
Received on Ice Samples Intact	Receiv	City, MO 64131	Kansas.	SERVICES.
) No Yes (No)	(Yes	Address: 10401 Holmes Rd, Stc Widness:	Address: 10401	CERVICES IN L
Package Sealed Samples Sealed	Packas	Company:	Company: SCS Engineers	TRFNT
Lab Lot# 211607	Lab Lo	Contact: David Brewer Contact: Same	Contact: Davice	SEVERN
Shaded Areas For Internal Use Only of	Shaded Are	BIII To:	Report To:	

야 |

8,28,02	Date Received			COMMENTS	ive Key	Preservative Key	Container Key		Matrix Key	
IIWE	DAIE	COMPANY		RECEIVED BY	TIME	П	DATE	COMPANY	0	RECTINQUISHED'BY
DATE 126/02 TIME 030	DATE	COMPANY	5)	RECEIVED BY	004 /min	ľ	20/12/18 2/02	COMPANY ENGINEERS		(b) (6)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
							,			
					4	B ( C	6/27		65-6	6
					<u> </u>	w = 6	6/27 5		2-57	5
					<b>X</b>	W1 6	8/27 5		15-4	Ą
					4	W1 6	9/27		15-3	3
					<del>\</del>	W1 6	8/27		15-2	Ł
					X	W1 C	8/27 11=45	2	1-57	1
Additional Analyses / Remains	Additional An				Le	Cor	Sampling Date Time	Client Sample ID	CI Sam	Laboratory Management
lung / Domorks					ad	/latr			WEIGHT	10
COC not present	Yes No				<u>'</u>			Hard Copy:	When t	Lab PM: 2
COC Agree	/#	S				b	202	Date Required 9	0	Project Location:
Yes No NA	Yes No NA		7	The second secon		Preserv	0-11	0220007	in Rance	SLOP Short
2 Chee	pH Check OK					Volume	8	Project Number:		Project Name:
(Yes) No NA	(Yes) No					# / Cont.		b) 6)	n showard	Dalled Hom
Preserv. India	Within Hold Time					Refrg #				류니
12.6, 13.4, 12.8)	(12.6,1		Quote:	NO.		6) Sesen	Abrewer @ Scsengineers	E-Mail:	J	Phone: 708-534-5200
Cooler	Temperature °C of Cooler			Fax:	1	5208-146	(016) 941	Fax:	466	University Park, IL 60466
Yes No	Yes No			Phone:	1 1	75/0	(BIE) 941-7510	Phone:		STL Chicago
Samples Intact	Received on Ice				12/20	t. 100				SERVICES
Yes (No)	(Yes) No			address:	1,540	Holmes	- 1	Address		- K t Z -
Samples Sealed	Package Sealed			Company:		カースのの	565 E	Company:		TOTAT

7 None	= Oil Wi = Wipe 6. Other 6. Cool to 4°	aneous L = Leachate 5. Widemouth Glass 5. NaOH/Zn, Cool to 4°	= Sludge DL = Drum Liquid 4. Amber Glass 4. NaOH, Cool to 4°	1 2 2 2	2. H2SO4, Cool to 4°	 Matrix Key Container Key Preservative Key COMMENTS	
		Bill of Lading you attend		Courier: TX nand Delivered		 B , 28 , 52	